PII: S0960-894X(97)00310-7

NOVEL A-RING CLEAVED ANALOGS OF OLEANOLIC AND URSOLIC ACIDS WHICH AFFECT GROWTH REGULATION IN NRP.152 PROSTATE CELLS

Heather J. Finlay, a Tadashi Honda, a Gordon W. Gribble, *a David Danielpour, b Nicole E. Benoit, c Nanjoo Suh, c Charlotte Williams, Michael B. Sporn. *c

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, U.S.A.

^bNational Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD 20892, U.S.A.

^cDepartment of Pharmacology and Toxicology, Dartmouth Medical School, Hanover, NH 03755, U.S.A.

Abstract: Syntheses of eight novel A-ring cleaved oleanane and ursane analogs are described. These compounds were assessed for their ability to inhibit cell proliferation in NRP.152 prostate cells. Four A-ring cleaved derivatives showed significant activity; 5β -(1-methyl-2-ethyl)- 10α -(3-aminopropyl)-des-A-urs-12-en-28-oic acid was the most active compound, (IC₅₀, 0.3 μ M). © 1997 Elsevier Science Ltd.

Introduction:

Triterpenoids form a large class of pentacyclic compounds derived biosynthetically from the cyclization of squalene.¹ Many of these naturally occurring compounds exhibit interesting biological and pharmacological properties.² For example, the closely related oleanolic acid (1) and ursolic acid (2) have been investigated for anti-inflammatory activity,³ inhibition of tumorigenesis,⁴ and modulation of collagen synthesis.⁵ These commercially available⁶ acids 1 and 2 are of particular interest for their ability to affect cell proliferation.⁷

Two epithelial cell lines, NRP.1528,9 (nonmalignant) and NRP.154 (malignant), which demonstrate a sensitivity to retinoids and 10,25-dihydroxyvitamin D_3 , may be used for analysis of normal prostate growth and prostatic carcinogenesis. Compounds that inhibit the proliferation of nonmalignant cells mediated by the induction of TGF- β are, therefore, potential chemopreventive agents for prostate (and breast) cancer. In this context, oleanolic acid, ursolic acid, and approximately 70 synthetic oleanane and ursane analogs were screened in the present study for their ability to affect growth regulation of NRP.152 prostate cells.

Four structurally modified triterpenoids, 9–12 showed significant growth inhibition at concentrations of <5 μ M; 5 β -(1-methyl-2-ethyl)-10 α -(3-aminopropyl)-des-A-urs-12-en-28-oic acid, (12) was the most active compound in this series, IC₅₀, 0.3 μ M. Syntheses of examples of this A-ring cleaved series (shown in Figure 1), inhibitory activity, and discovery of lead compounds 11 and 12 are described in the present letter.

Figure 1

Synthetic Modification of Oleanolic and Ursolic Acids:

Jones oxidation ¹¹ of oleanolic acid furnished intermediate ketone 3 (96%), which was cleaved using the Schmidt reaction conditions described ¹² (Scheme 1). These conditions proved superior to those reported using hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid ¹³ for this substrate. A-ring cleaved nitrile 5 was obtained in 35% yield after flash column chromatographic separation from the lactam by-product. The nitrile was subsequently converted to the corresponding carboxylic acid 7 by a tetrafluorophthalic acid melt ¹⁴ (50%), to the aldehyde 9 by DIBAL reduction ¹⁵ (2.0 equiv in THF, 46%), and to the related primary amine 11 by hydrogenation over catalytic 10% palladium on activated charcoal ¹⁶ (95%). The C12-13 was not reduced under the hydrogenation conditions due to the sterically hindered nature of this double bond. ¹⁷ An analogous sequence of transformations lead to the A-ring cleaved ursanes from ursolic acid. Thus, oxidation and A-ring cleavage of 2 generated nitrile 6 (71%, 40:60 ratio of nitrile:lactam), and subsequent nitrile hydrolysis ¹⁸ (56%), DIBAL reduction ¹⁵ (81%), and hydrogenation ¹⁶ (68%) furnished 8, 10, and 12 respectively.

Scheme 1

(a) Jones Oxidation; (b) NaN₃/AcOH/H₂SO₄; (c) Tetrafluorophthalic acid melt/160 ⁽¹⁾C;

(d) 2.0 equiv DIBAL/-30 ⁰C/THF; e) H₂/10%Pd/C/EtOH/10%HC1.

Compound ¹⁹	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)	Compound ¹⁹	IC ₅₀ ^a (μM)
TGF-β	0.000014	1	>5.0
12	0.3	2	>5.0
11	0.7	3	>5.0
9	1.5	4	>5.0
10	2.4	7	>5.0
5	3.8	8	>5.0
6	>5.0		

Table 1: Inhibitory Activity of Triterpenoids on NRP.152 Prostate Cell Proliferation.

(a) IC50 values for the range $0.1-5.0~\mu M$ for all compounds except TGF- β calculated using the program Tablecurve®. Values are fitted to a log-dose response curve.

Biological Results and Discussion:

Oleanolic acid, ursolic acid, synthetic derivatives 3-12 and approximately 70 other structurally modified oleananes and ursanes were screened in vitro for their ability to inhibit proliferation of nonmalignant, nontumorigenic prostate cells. ^{8,9} Aldehydes 9 and 10 were active at a concentration of 2 μ M (IC₅₀, 1.5 and 2.4 μ M respectively), but amines 5 β -(1-methyl-2-ethyl)- 10α -(3-aminopropyl)-des-A-olean-12-en-28-oic acid (11) and the corresponding ursane 12 were the most active compounds of those tested (IC₅₀, 0.7 and 0.3 μ M, respectively). Table 1 lists the IC₅₀ values (μ M) for compounds 1 – 12 and the positive control TGF- β .

From these results there are three preliminary structure—activity relationships:

- (i) The corresponding ursane and oleanane derivatives have similar activities (1 and 2, 3 and 4, 5 and 6, 7 and 8, 9 and 10, 11 and 12).
- (ii) The A-ring cleaved compounds are more active than oleanolic acid and ursolic acid and the 3-oxo derivatives (5-12 are more active than 1-4).
- (iii) Conversion of nitriles 5 and 6 to the corresponding aldehydes 9, 10 and reduction of the nitriles to the amines 11 and 12 results in significantly increased activity.

Clearly, modification of ring A with subsequent further reduction of the intermediate nitrile is essential for activity. It is not yet clear whether reduction of both the 3-nitrile and the 4-alkene functionality are required. Amines 11 and 12 will be adopted as lead compounds. Further modification of these derivatives and synthesis of A-ring cleaved analogs are currently in progress.

Acknowledgments:

This investigation was supported by funds from the Norris Cotton Cancer Center. M.B.S. is the Oscar M. Cohn Professor. Mass spectral data were kindly furnished by Drs. Steven Mullen and Mary Young.

References and Notes:

- 1. Connolly, J. D.; Hill, R. A. Nat. Prod. Rep. 1995, 12, 609.
- 2. Tang, W.; Eisenbrand, G.; In Chinese Drugs of Plant Origin, Springer-Verlag, 1992.
- 3. Singh, G. B.; Singh, S.; Bani, S.; Gupta, B. D.; Banerjee, S. K. J. Pharmacol. 1992, 44, 556.
- 4. Huang, M. T.; Ho, C. T.; Wang, Z. Y.; Ferraro, T.; Lou, Y. R.; Stauber, K.; Ma, W.; Georgiadis, C.; Laskin, J. D.; Conney, A. H. Cancer Res. 1994, 54, 701.
- 5. Cha, H.; Bae, S.; Lee, O.; Sato, H.; Seiki, M.; Park, B. C.; Kim, K. Cancer Res. 1996, 56, 2281.
- 6. Commercially available from Aldrich Chemical Co.
- 7. Umehara, K.; Takagi, R.; Kuroyanagi, M.; Ueno, A.; Taki, T.; Chen, Y. J. Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1992, 40, 401.
- 8. Danielpour, D.; Kadomatsu, K.; Anzano, M. A.; Smith, M.; Sporn, M. B. Cancer Res. 1994, 54, 3413.
- 9. Danielpour, D. J. Cell. Physiol. 1996, 166, 231.
- 10. Sporn, M. B. Cancer Res. 1991, 51, 6215.
- 11. Barton, D. H. B.; Holness, N. T.; J. Chem. Soc. 1951, 3147.
- 12. Sundararamaiah, A.; Ramraj, S. K.; Lakshman Rao, K.; Vilala Bai, V. J. Indian Chem. Soc. 1976, LIII, 664.
- 13. Reddy, R. P.; Reddy, V. R. N.; Ravindranath, A.; Ramaiah, T. S. Indian J. Chem. 1989, 28B, 850.
- 14. Gribble, G. W.; Rounds, W. D.; Eaton, J. T.; Urbanowicz, J. H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1988, 50, 6557.
- 15. Marshall, J. A.; Andersen, N. H.; Schlicher, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 858.
- 16. Rao, A. V. R.; Chandra, B.; Borate, H. B. Tetrahedron Lett. 1982, 38, 3555.
- 17. Gillies, C. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1276.
- 18. Miller, L. L.; Christopfel, W. C. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 1276.
- 19. New compounds had consistent NMR, IR, TLC and high resolution mass spectra.

(Received in USA 29 April 1997; accepted 30 May 1997)